UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,655	12/11/2003	Christian Peter Behrenbruch	KEMP-011	8976
	7590	EXAMINER		
1900 UNIVERS	SITY AVENUE	AGWUMEZIE, CHARLES C		
SUITE 200 EAST PALO A	LTO, CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/734,655	BEHRENBRUCH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHARLES C. AGWUMEZIE	3621	
	10/734,655 Examiner	10/734,655 BEHRENBRUCH ET  Examiner Art Unit

		CHARLES C. AGWUMEZIE	3621	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	lress
THE REP	LY FILED 12 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. ⊠ The appl appl	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on lication, applicant must timely file one of the following lication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice replies: (1) an amendment, affide eal (with appeal fee) in complian	of Appeal. To avoid aba avit, or other evidence, v ce with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request
b)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	iling date of the final rejection	on.
have been under 37 C set forth in may reduce	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exicFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later e any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous hortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing	int of the fee. The appropri riginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
filing	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter ice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w IENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
3. <b>⊠</b> The (a)	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a They raise new issues that would require further cold they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see N		ecause
_	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			he issues for
_	They present additional claims without canceling a of NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Compliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
non-	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all -allowable claim(s).	•	•	_
how The	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is provestatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: m(s) allowed:		will be entered and an e	xplanation of
Clai Clai	m(s) objected to: <u>31-35,40,42-44 and 46.</u> m(s) rejected: <u>22-27,29,30 and 45</u> .			
	m(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>31-35,40,42-44 ar</u> IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>nd 46</u> .		
beca was	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affid	avit or other evidence is	necessary and
ente	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	oeal and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation TFOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims afte	entry is below or attach	ed.
<u>Se</u>	e request for reconsideration has been considered bu ee Continuation Sheet.			ice because:
	ote the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (her:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	-	
	EW J. FISCHER/ sory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3621			
-				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument is not persuasive. This is because Brock-Fisher is identified as disclosing this limitation and Applicant have failed to argue why such rejection is not applicable to the amended claim 22.